1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607) Kelly M. Dermody (State Bar No. 171716) Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260) Brendan P. Glackin (State Bar No. 199643) Joseph P. Forderer (State Bar No. 278774) Dean M. Harvey (State Bar No. 250298) Anne B. Shaver (State Bar No. 255928) LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNS' 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064) Lisa J. Leebove (State Bar No. 186705) James D. Dallal (State Bar No. 277826)	TEIN, LLP	
9	JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM 255 California, Suite 450 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 500-6800		
10			
11			
12	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
17	IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE	Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK	
18	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	AMENDED DECLARATION OF BRENDAN P.	
19	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	GLACKIN IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR ORDER	
2021	ALL ACTIONS	COMPELLING DEFENDANTS TO COMPLY WITH CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-3(A) AND 3- 4(C)(2)	
22			
23		-	
24	I, Brendan Glackin, declare:		
25	1. I am a partner in the law firm of Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP, a		
26	member of the State Bar of California, and am admitted to practice before the United States		
27	District Court for the Northern District of California. I am one of the counsel for the Plaintiffs in		
28			
	1077071 1	DECLARATION OF BRENDAN GLACKIN	

1

4

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

this action. I make this declaration based on my own personal knowledge. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently to the truth of the matters stated herein.

- 2. On November 14, 2012, I and several colleagues met and conferred by telephone with Michael Tubach for Apple and Frank Hinman for Intel regarding Defendants' Motion to Strike the Report of Dr. Edward E. Leamer. I advised opposing counsel that their motion to strike was a procedurally improper attempt to expand their page limitations in their opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification and requested that it be withdrawn. Mr. Hinman disagreed and stated Defendants had envisioned that Plaintiffs would want to file a consolidated opposition with their reply in support of class certification, to which Defendants would file a further reply. We rejected that approach. Mr. Hinman stated that Defendants "could not have known" that they would want to move to strike Dr. Leamer's report at the time the parties agreed to the briefing schedule with the Court. He further stated that the motion was proper under *Ellis* v. Costco Wholesale Corporation, Case No. 04-3341 (N.D. Cal.). On November 15, 2012, they advised me that Defendants would not agree to withdraw their motion to strike. They made a different scheduling proposal, specifically that Plaintiffs would file their opposition to the motion to strike on December 3, 2012, and Defendants would file a reply on December 10, 2012. We did not agree.
- 3. The parties also met and conferred on November 14, 2012 regarding the Garamond font used in Defendants' opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification. I advised opposing counsel that their brief did not comply with Local Rule 3-4(c)(2). Mr. Tubach claimed to be the primary author of the brief. He denied using Garamond font to avoid page limits but did not explain how Garamond came to be the font for the brief when Times New Roman had been used on every other filing made. He and Mr. Hinman stated that Defendants would not agree to withdraw their opposition brief on this basis.
- 4. On November 13, 2012, I instructed a professional word processor to create a version of Defendants' opposition brief, omitting tables, identical in all respects to the original, which can be accomplished by simple text conversion. The word processor then converted the

font of the document to Times New Roman. The resulting document was over 26.5 pages of text.	
A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit A.	
5. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of a sequence of forum	
postings I retrieved from The Chronicle of Higher Education regarding the use of Garamond font	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is	
true and correct.	
Executed this 16th day of November, 2012, in San Francisco, California.	
/s/ Brendan P. Glackin Brendan P. Glackin	
Brendan P. Glackin	